



In
The Beginning
WAS
The Divine Plan

WHAT WAS
“IN THE BEGINNING
WITH GOD”

SHANE VAUGHN

First Harvest Ministries

The truth contained in these pages can never be unlearned, they will lead you to a greater understanding of the scriptures and your mind will be forced to grasp new concepts but the rewards will be life changing.

A Free Booklet Provided To You By The Faithful Partner
of First Harvest Ministries

www.firstharvestchurch.org
brothervaughn@gmail.com
Subscribe on Youtube -
First Harvest Ministries

In all of our writings we refer to the Father
by His Hebrew name “Yahweh” and we
refer to the Son as “Yahshua” His
original Hebrew name. In English
they are referred to as Jehovah and Jesus

What was in the Beginning?

Introduction

I want to deal with a theological dispute and hopefully bring some clarification and perhaps a more balanced understanding to St. John 1:1 – a verse shrouded in seeming mystery and a cause of theological and doctrinal debate for centuries.

I want you to grab your Bibles for this study; because I don't ever want you to take anything that I say to be the truth. The only thing that is truth is the Word of God (Yahweh), rightly divided.

In this writing, we are going to find out WHO was in the beginning "WITH GOD." This has been a point of great controversy among four different camps;

1. THE TRINITARIANS
2. THE ONENESS
3. THE BINATARIANS
4. & THE UNITARIANS

The whole of Christianity mostly falls into the different theological sub-groups that I have mentioned above. Each segment believing in one of the four differing concepts of the Godhead. We would be foolhardy and irresponsible to not mention how old these divisions are. They in fact find their genesis in the very early years of the formation of the Christian church.

First Harvest Ministries

THE TRINITARIANS BELIEVE

There are three (3) very distinct persons in the Godhead

THE ONENESS BELIEVES

There is only one (1) person in the Godhead, namely Jesus Christ. This group is known as MODALIST and historically they were known as SEBBALINIST.

THE BINATARIANS BELIEVE

There are two persons in the Godhead; namely, the Father and the Son. They believe that both were at creation and both are equal in creation.

THE UNITARIANS BELIEVE

There is only one God (Yahweh) or Jehovah in English and that He had a Son that is Divine and is indeed Elohim but The Son is not the creator nor was the Son at creation in a pre-existent form.

*** The student needs to note that both the BINATARIANS and the UNITARIANS are very similar in their view. It must be noted that what actually separates them is something known as "PRE-EXISTENCE OF THE SON." The BINATARIANS believe that the Son was in a pre-existent spiritual form before His physical birth, while the UNITARIANS hold that the Son was not in a pre-existent form but rather came to be at His human birth.*

I hope by using the Word of God in this booklet, to bring some clarity to this very

What was in the Beginning?

confusing subject and hopefully it will cause you to find out what you truly believe.

As we venture into the opening words of the first chapter of Johns writing; I am going to quote the verse the way that most people assume the verse.

JOHN 1:1

“IN THE BEGINNING WAS THE SON AND THE SON WAS WITH GOD AND THE SON WAS GOD”

Don't be confused or bewildered! If you're reading this verse in your Bible and that's not quite what it says, I understand. But I am quoting the verse in the pre-programmed way that most people automatically and mindlessly read this verse. Without one thought to the contrary, people infer that particular meaning upon the verse.

This natural inclination of *“The Son was with God”* lends to great confusion and misperception, as a result;

- It causes the TRINITARIANS to say *“Here is Bible truth that in the beginning there was more than one at creation”*
- It causes the ONENESS believer to say *“See, it says that Jesus is Yahweh”*
- It causes the BINATARIAN to say *“See, Jesus didn't begin in Bethlehem he began at the beginning with the father”*

At First Harvest Ministries we believe that all three positions are out of balance and does not perfectly reflect the biblical nature of the Godhead; The Father & The Son.

First Harvest Ministries

*** Please understand and do not be confused: In our writings we refer to Jesus as Yahshua because we do prefer his original name versus his contrived name in English*

Let's make this very clear for the record and for your research; John 1:1 DOES NOT say "*In the beginning was the Son and the Son was with God and the Son was God*" Here's what it in fact says.

"IN THE BEGINNING WAS THE LOGOS"

LOGOS is the Greek Word from which our English word "WORD" is derived and translated. Thus we read in our English Bibles – "In the Beginning was the WORD" but if you were in a museum right now, holding in your hands, as they exist to this day, the original Greek manuscripts, you would read "In the beginning was the Logos, the LOGOS was with God and the LOGOS was God."

The word LOGOS demands for you to stop and focus and concentrate deeply on the original meaning of that word.

*** A point that I always try to make to our Bible College students; Even though the New Testament is officially written in the common language of the Greeks; we must never forget that it was written from a Hebrew mind, by Hebrew men to Hebrew readers. We make a great mistake when we try to Hellenize the New Testament by only understanding the Greek meaning of the word rather than the Hebrew intent of the word.*

What was in the Beginning?

John was very Hebrew, his thinking was Hebrew, his mindset was Hebrew, his culture and education was Hebrew. This Hebrew man was forced to convey Hebrew thoughts with the limitations of Greek words. Just as I am an English American and my writing is done from the filter of an American mindset. However, were I forced to write this book with Spanish words there would be many instances where I might have the correct Spanish words, I would still be unable to convey my line of thinking with the limitation of foreign words. It will serve you, the student well to remember this in your Bible studies.

LOGOS is one of those words with such limitations. As the Hebrew writer seeks to convey eternal truths, he must do so with a Hellenized Greek language and this inequity of language lends to part of the problem in how this verse is understood by English minds.

The word LOGOS like most words, have several meanings to it, depending on the context. This multiplicity of meanings always lends to a problem in translation and understanding. However, one of the primordial and primary meanings of this Greek word “LOGOS” is

..... **“A COLLECTION OF THOUGHTS”**

That’s what LOGOS means predominantly - “A collection of thoughts or a reasoning of thoughts.” You can find all of these definitions when you look up Logos in the Greek lexicon.

First Harvest Ministries

Thayers Greek Lexicon gives us a deeper peek into the meaning of this word

STRONGS NT 3056: λόγος

λόγος, λόγου, ὁ (λέγω) (from Homer down), the Sept. especially for properly, a collecting, collection (see λέγω) — AND THAT, AS WELL OF THOSE THINGS WHICH ARE PUT TOGETHER IN THOUGHT, AS OF THOSE WHICH, HAVING BEEN THOUGHT I. E. GATHERED TOGETHER IN THE MIND, are expressed in words. Accordingly, a twofold use of the term is to be distinguished: one which relates to speaking, and one which relates to thinking.

Now I ask you to carry this to the logical conclusion with me, using your God given quality of reasoning within your mind. When you put together a collection of thoughts what do you have?

In fact, YOU HAVE A PLAN! Logos is in its greatest definition – A PLAN!

This beautiful meaning of Logos should not be surprising to us because the further we study into the Hebrew mind of John we can know without a doubt why he chose to use the Greek word LOGOS to convey to us as best he could what he was thinking in his mind. Remember, the writer is Hebrew, the thoughts are Hebrew forced into Greek language.

As a young boy in Hebrew school when John was

What was in the Beginning?

learning language and culture, he learned a certain Hebrew word – DABAR. In the Hebrew mind when you wish to present a plan you would use the word DABAR for our English word PLAN.

Ironically, the Hebrew word DABAR means A TOTALITY OF THOUGHTS OR A PLAN. So, if you are Hebrew and you are looking for a Greek word to convey the word DABAR you would have no choice but to choose the Greek word LOGOS and this is exactly the word that John uses when writing in Greek.

Therefore, by using both DABAR and LOGOS we have a twofold witness that the meaning of WORD as found in John 1:1 is best understood as PLAN.

Now, let us read once again John 1:1 with a clear and concise understanding of what it actually says.

“IN THE BEGINNING WAS THE PLAN OF YAHWEH, THE PLAN WAS WITH YAHWEH AND THE PLAN BELONGED TO YAHWEH”

Your biggest challenge in studying the scriptures is not to assume a meaning based on your culture or religious tradition – much easier said than done I admit. So, let us begin with not assuming that John 1:1 is speaking of another entity or person. Let us read it and interpret it exactly as it is written.

WHAT WAS WITH GOD IN THE BEGINNING WERE HIS THOUGHTS. His thoughts were His only companions and these thoughts created His plan for the ages. These thoughts were vested with

First Harvest Ministries

creative power the moment they were formed into words.

Words create things even before they are formed before our eyes. Words create dimensional shifts in our lives. We give life or death with our words. The Primary Word-Smith is the creator Himself. He existed alone until He spoke. Upon the moment of the vibrational shifting by His Spoken Word then His plan came to Life. It was by THIS VERY PLAN that everything that was created was created. It was by this Plan that Calvary existed before Christ was ever born. It was by this plan that Yahshua was crucified before the earth was created because as long as there was a Plan then there was a guaranteed outcome.

This revelation of the Dabar Plan of Yahweh will forever change the way you see scripture, the way you see everything!

I want to say this and many people don't like this when I say it but the Bible is only perfect in its original written form. Not one translation that you have is perfect including the authorized King James Version. This is the reason why the Bible said to "*Study to show yourself approved as a real workman of Yahweh or God*" because if you don't study past the translation then you're never going to understand John 1:1,2,3,14

Correct Biblical Foundations is of vital importance because each doctrine is built off of another doctrine. If you get one doctrine wrong it will lead to other wrong doctrines. In the same manner as we approach

What was in the Beginning?

John 1:3, if you fail to correctly understand John 1:1 that same misunderstanding will follow you to the next few verses.

Now that you understand John 1:1 and how it should be understood as “WHAT” was with God rather than “WHO” was with God it will be much easier to see the mistranslation in John 1:3.

The translators believed and assumed like so many of us did concerning John 1:1, they had in mind “*Who*” rather than “*What*” and that is the reason when you get to John 1:3 you will see personal pronouns being used. This misuse of pronouns is what has misled Christian theology for so many years. However, in these final hours of time, there is a greater understanding being restored to the end time church, all things are being restored through the restoration ministry of Elijah.

John 1:3 should have never been translated as “*He*” but rather it should be translated impersonally as “*It*” or “*This.*” Rather than saying “*He*” was in the beginning with God it should read “*This or It* was in the beginning with God”

The Son of God, *Yahshua*, was only with God in the beginning as a part of “THE PLAN” of Yahweh for the ages. He was only there in pre-existent thought or Logos. AS MUCH AS THE PLAN WAS ETERNALTHEN THE SON IS ETERNAL, Calvary is eternal as well and Salvation is eternal. However, the wooden cross itself is not eternal outside of the

First Harvest Ministries

eternal plan. The Son of God is not eternal outside of the eternal plan. The Bible tells us that *Yahshua* was crucified before the world was created and yet no one believes He was actually crucified before He was born. However, with dishonesty we refuse to use the same simple logic concerning His creation. We are told that He existed before the world was formed as well. However, just as Calvary cannot be given pre-existent status neither can the Son, except in the Plan, the Logos or the Dabar plan of Yahweh.

I say emphatically to the Trinitarian, the Modalist and the Binitarian – *Yahshua* was not there face to face with the Father at creation. Now, I realize that many will return the argument that the Greek phrase “*Pros Theos*” from which we derive “*With God*” indicates a possibility of “*face to face*” position. However, the argument falls short upon the rocks of facts. Allow me to explain.

As all scholars agree “*Pros Theos*” is a most difficult phrase to translate into English.

As with science, everyone has a different opinion of the same “facts” the art of linguistics is no different. One group of scientists can take a set of facts and prove to you the evolution exists while another set can with the same facts prove to you that creation exist. How can this anomaly be? How can the same facts present two totally different opinions? I’ll explain.

The answer is this – Personal Bias, Cultural and religious filters. Scientist that believe in evolution can

What was in the Beginning?

use their personal bias when interpreting the facts of science. If this is true with scientist, imagine how much more so with men of theology, most specifically Bible translators.

The original King James Bible Translators, indeed they were men of renown yet to the penny each one believed as did the church at large in the concept of the Trinity. If you give these devout men the assignment to translate the Bible, I ask you, from what paradigm are they going to translate it? From the filter of their understanding which they were all avowed and faithful Trinitarians, believing that there are three (3) persons in the Godhead. So, if you're a Trinitarian you automatically believe that Christ was there at creation. That is the mindset from which you're going to be working. Just like and no different from the evolutionist who begins his research with the mindset of evolution. Without fail He can find evolution in the facts!

Here are the undeniable facts. Did you know that in the 16th century *William Tyndale* translated the first English Bible directly from the Greek text?

Ironically, prior to Tyndall all English New Testaments were translated from a translation of the original Greek, known as *The Latin Vulgate*. No English Bible had even hiterhto been translated directly from the original scriptures, from the Greek text but rather they had all been translated from a translation. But *William Tyndale*, a Greek scholar, he went back directly to the Greek, he bypassed all middleman

First Harvest Ministries

translations of the Greek and directly and unambiguously translated in the 16th century, the first English Bible that was precisely from the original text without the confluence of the Latin Vulgate.

Therefore it is our duty as honest students to look at how honestly William Tyndale translated John 1:1-3 from the Erasmus Greek text and here is how he translated it. It is beyond noteworthy that he did not use THE PRONOUN HE but rather William Tyndale correctly used the preposition IT!

JOHN 1:3

IT WAS IN THE BEGINNING WITH GOD

When *William Tyndale* was referring to the *Logos* in John 1:1, he purposely didn't refer back to the *Logos* as "*He*" because that is the only proper way to translate it directly from the original text.

I believe along with *William Tyndale* that "*What*" was in the beginning "*With God*" was an "*It*" rather than a "*He*" or a person. What was in the beginning was not another god, it was not the Holy Spirit because *Yahweh* is the Holy Spirit – He is Holy and He is Spirit – therefore He is the Holy Spirit. It was not "*The Son*" because we are told by the Apostle Peter that *Yahshua* began in the flesh. He was the beginning of the creation of *Yahweh* in the Bethlehem manger.

What was in the Beginning?

So, if none of the above, then What?The Plan of Godwas with God and belonged to God in the beginning. In the fullness of time this Plan was manifested or revealed to us in flesh. This plan came down from the heavenly scroll, the bible in the sky and dwelt among us and we beheld His glory. This plan from which *Yahshua* came was the very plan by which all things were created. *Yahshua* was the very reason that all these things were created that they might be given to Him as an inheritance.

So why did Tyndale, a Greek scholar, translate the first English Bible directly from the Greek text as *IT* rather than *He*? Because he has no choice as a Scholar.

He was faced with two Greek pronouns “*Autos*” and “*Houtos*.” Now, you can translate these two pronouns any way you wish to translate them, it totally depends on the context. The interpretation totally depends on what or who is being referred to. Therefore, obeying the laws of grammar, Tyndale had no options but to translate as he did because nowhere in John 1:1 is a person being referred to but rather a thing, more specifically an impersonal PLAN.

To better understand what John was trying to convey in St. John 1:1 we simply need to delve deeper into the thoughts of John. Luckily, it is very easy to do as St. John was not his only writing. We are thankful that by divine providence we can go to Johns other writings to try and understand his Hebrew thoughts about Christ and the Logos. For this

First Harvest Ministries

exercise we simply go to the First Epistle of John and ironically the same chapter and the same verse as his earlier writings; I John 1:1 compared to St. John 1:1 – Co-incidence? I think not!

The same writer that tells us “*In the beginning was The Word*” he’s now writing another letter that will perfectly clear up any confusion from his previous letter. How blessed we are the he started out this next letter in the exact same way. Fortunately, he’s going to clarify for the whole church the true meaning of “*the word that was with God in the beginning*”

John in this 2nd letter wrote about something very impersonal!

I JOHN 1:1 – “THAT WHICH WAS FROM THE BEGINNING”

Notice carefully how he, The Apostle John, now speaks about THAT WHICH WAS FROM THE BEGINNING. In his first letter of St. John we see a very personal “*He*” that is used in referring to the Logos but ironically in his second letter when referring the beginning again, this time a very impersonal “*That*” is used. This is exactly how St. John 1:3 should have read as well if the same laws of translation had been used by biased Trinitarian translators.

John was very well aware of and knew unlike so many Christians that it wasn’t the man Yahshua that was FROM THE BEGINNING but rather it was THE LOGOS, THE PLAN, THE COLLECTIVE THOUGHTS

What was in the Beginning?

was from the beginning.

I would like to now reference the scholar; PROFESSOR BERT ERHMAN, he exposed the abundant Trinitarian influence and Modalistic influence in your Bible translations when he said

“Erasmus who produced the first Greek New Testament without the Trinity in 1516 was forced by the priests to put the Trinity in a new edition that was later translated into the King James Version of the Bible”

JESUS INTERRUPTED pgs 186 &187

This unbiased scholar tells us that Erasmus was forced to write another version and to put the Trinitarian influence into that version but Tyndale was never forced to do so because he was outside of the mainstream but later Trinitarian influence caused the King James Version and all subsequent translations to inaccurately read *“He was in the beginning with God”* and therefore we can clearly see Trinitarian bias involved in the translation from the Greek text.

I want to show you grammatical evidence of what I’m teaching you.

There are two Greek pronouns that we want to study in depth so that you can forever put this issue to rest in your mind and never be confused about the meaning of Logos every again.

First Harvest Ministries

HOUTOS & AUTOS

These two Greek pronouns are usually translated into English as

THIS & IT

If you see either of these two Greek words always remember that these are very non-personal, very blasé and points to no certain person throughout the New Testament writings. The only time you will ever see these two words with personal usage is if they are referring back to a person.

Let's go to St John 6:60 to educate ourselves on these two pronouns.

"Therefore many of his disciples when they had heard (AUTOS) this"

Read it closely and see if you have been following us close enough? What Greek pronoun is used here?

"Autos" and how is that Greek word translated into English? Just as it should be – non personal – *"This"*. *"When they had heard THIS"* So, I ask you, why is it that these same rules are not followed in John 1:3 when the exact same Greek word *"Autos"* is used in referring to the LOGOS. Why is it mysteriously not translated impersonally as we find in John 6:60? The answer is this – the translators were Trinitarians and they believed John 1:1 was referring to the Son and therefore they translated *"Autos"* as a personal *"He"* rather than an impersonal *"This or It"*

What was in the Beginning?

Let's continue in John 6:60 – *** by the way if you don't have your Bible open with this lesson then you are a candidate for deception. Open your Bible and prove this teaching.*

“When they heard this they said (HOUTOS) THIS is a difficult (LOGOS) Saying”

Did you notice? HOUTOS is translated as it should be “THIS”. Also, you will see here a perfect example of the true meaning of the word LOGOS as well. What English word did the translators use for LOGOS this time? “Saying” what is a saying? It is a “*Collection of Thoughts*” the true meaning of Logos.

Let's revisit “LOGOS” again, this time ever further in depth. Before this sermon existed on Youtube, in written form or as a spoken sermon it was simply a collection of my thoughts in my mind. What you are reading now or listening to now is the Manifestation of my LOGOS, my collection of thoughts. So, in fact this sermon did pre-exist the preaching of it or the writing of it. However, it only existed in LOGOS form – Thought or a collection of thoughts. However, there was no ACTUAL SERMON by Shane Vaughn that you could hear that would convey this collection of thoughts or this LOGOS until I SPOKE or until I TYPED. Now, you hold in your hands THE CREATION of what already existed in another dimension. Yet... there was NO ACTUAL SERMON or Book until The Fullness of Time when My thoughts or my Logos CAME FORTH into the dimension of reality and then you were able to behold

First Harvest Ministries

it's glory and its life giving revelation. So it is with the Son of God, Yes, He pre-existed in THE PLAN or the THOUGHTS of Yahweh and He was just as real there as He was the day He was born but ONLY IN THOUGHT. He was the beginning of the creation of God at Bethlehem just as this booklet was the beginning of the creation of another VAUGHN SERMON only when IT CAME FORTH from my thoughts.

For so long we have tried to put the man Christ Jesus in the beginning with YAHWEH at creation when in fact He "*Came Forth*" in the fullness of time!

He is a creation of Time, placed in Time and upon His resurrection delivered from Time. He is the beginning of the creation of God and the End of this creation as we are ALL IN HIM.

To preach another Christ, a Christ that came forth at creation is to preach an Anti-Christ. The Apostle John gives you a firm and clear warning about doing this. If anyone knew who Yahshua was it was John, the Beloved. He is about to warn all of us about preaching a Divine Flesh Christ, a Christ that began ANYWHERE ELSE except in Flesh. He warns of preaching of a Christ that came down from Spirit or from some gnostic ascended place.

JOHN 4:3 – "*EVERYONE THAT CONFESSETH NOT THAT YAHSHUA BEGAN IN THE FLESH IS NOT OF GOD AND THIS IS THE SPIRIT OF ANOTHER CHRIST*"

What was in the Beginning?

He says so plainly, you've heard that the Antichrist is coming but he said I'm telling you now, if you're preaching about some other Christ, some mystical Christ that began before he was born, that's another Christ.

You must understand, John fought hard against the pre-existent Jesus movement, which began among the gnostics at the beginning of the church age. This group of super spiritual Christians simply could not buy the fact that their Messiah was A MAN, A REAL MAN. Surely, He had to be an ascended master that came down to us and was not really just a HUMAN like us. They taught that Yahshua was not the normal human flesh but he was actually divine spirit that had come in the flesh but he was sort of trapped in a flesh body but He had some kind of separate dual nature, some sort of spirit and soul that was not really human but rather, the Krishna was really a divine Christ consciousness that existed in the beginning with God.

The above paragraph is pure paganism and it is preached in most churches of the world that claim to be Christian churches. The Christ presented above is another Christ and I plead with you my brethren, I plead with you to put one creator at creation and his name was Yahweh! He alone said he stretched forth the heavens there was no one there with him
The Apostle John said "*If you are preaching that Yahshua did not begin in the flesh*" you are Anti Christ.

First Harvest Ministries

Now if you are reading that verse and your Bible reads different and it doesn't say "*Began in the flesh*" but rather says "*Come in the flesh*" don't be confused, I will show you why more correct versions say "*Began in the flesh.*"

The phrase "*Began in the flesh*" comes from the Greek word "*ECHOMIE*".... This is the middle voice of a primary verb, it is an imperfect tense. Here is the full definition when speaking of a person, in this case it is speaking of Christ.

ECHOMIE

*To make one's appearance, or to come before the public
TO COME INTO BEING, to arise, to come forth, to
show one's self*

If we read those meanings into what the verse is saying here is what we will find and thus the reason a more fitting translation is needed.

"If any man preach that Yahshua *MADE HIS APPEARANCE* any other place or time but the flesh

let's take another definition

"if anybody preaches that Yahshua *CAME FORTH* any other way but by the flesh"

"if you're preaching that Yahshua *BECAME KNOWN* any other way but by the birth of flesh then that is another Christ, that is the Christ that Satan wants to preach the one that was not a legitimate

What was in the Beginning?

bona fide flesh and blood son of Adam.

If *Yahshua* began any other place but in the loins of a man, born of a woman; if he began any other place then he has no lineage to Adam or David and this is the other Christ that Satan loves for you to preach! He loves for you to preach about this other Christ, this super spiritual, mystical Christ, the one that was there with God in the beginning and he is the all compassing spirit, the Sophiac wisdom, the Christ consciousness.

The New Agers would love your message dear friend but I declare from the heights of the city of the North to every vale and hill that He's not the Christ consciousness but rather He's the legitimate human son of Adam and the son of David, He's the human born man that conquered sin by the indwelling power of the Holy Ghost and overcame Satan's offer. He was the second Adam that went to the Garden of Eden one more time and stood between those two trees; the right choice and the wrong choice and he stood there talking to the same snake that the first Adam talked to, that old serpent the devil and he looked at that snake in the face. *Yahshua*, He was like a brand plucked out of the fire, 40 days in the wilderness dehydrated and dying of thirst, like a brand plucked out of the fire and there stood, this little feeble man without one ounce of godhood in him, there stood this boy that came from Bethlehem and by a supernatural baptism of power from on high, He stood there and as weak as He was, He listened to Satan's offer;

First Harvest Ministries

He was tempted by Satan offer; the offer sounded good and the offer looked good..... but this son of man that began in the flesh, that was created in the mind of Yahweh in the beginning but came forth in the fullness of time; this boy from Bethlehem stood there with the same power that you and I have. He stood there and because of His complete obedience, He had the spirit without measure, meaning He had walked an obedient life which made room in his life for the fullness of the Spirit of God; he stood there with the infilling of the Holy Ghost and He overcame, he did not eat of that forbidden fruit.

As the First Overcomer, a the Seed Son, He arose from the tomb and said now all authority in heaven and in earth has been given unto me !!! Now, in His divinity, as the Elohim, first born Son of Yahweh, He now had authority over Satan. Why Now? because authority is earned, it is not granted, it is only given to those that have earned the trust of those who have the authority to give.

Yahshua earned that trust, He earned it that day whenever He did what fallen man could not do, **OVERCOME SATAN !!!**

As we finish our study, let's go back to John chapter 1 and let's read verse 1 through verse 3 in its original Greek text

“In a beginning was the DIVINE PLAN the DIVINE PLAN was pertaining to God and the DIVINE PLAN belonged to God”

What was in the Beginning?

I am sure you noticed my use of “*Pertaining to God*” rather than “*With God*”. I will show you why that is the proper translation rather than “*With God*” The Greek word for “*With God*” is *PROS THEOS*. If we go to Hebrew 2:17 as well as Hebrews 5:1. Both references use the word *PROS THEOS* and it is translated as “*Pertaining to God*” but for some magical reason in John 1:1 they chose to take the exact same phrase and interpret it “*With God*”.

Allow me to present a parable of sorts in helping you to understand John 1:1-14

Where does a house begin? The house you live in, where did it begin? One could argue that it began when ground was broken to lay the slab. But that would be incorrect. The House was built years earlier in someone’s mind. It was as good as built when someone designed every detail in their mind. **THAT WAS THE PLAN, the DEBAR, the LOGOS !!!!**

Where was the next place it went?? You **SPOKE IT** to a contractor or a blueprint designer. The house is drawn, every detail of it. Until the house is built it is as good as built in your mind because you can **SEE IT!** Yet, not one person can enjoy shelter from the elements in your house because it does not exist in their reality. So, the **SON** existed in the mind of Yahweh but not one sinner could be saved until **THAT PLAN** was **MANIFESTED** in flesh. Just like your house had to be manifested in **WOOD** in order to serve it’s purpose. When the time was right and the ground was broken for your house **THE PLAN WAS MANIFESTED.**

First Harvest Ministries

Yahshua existed in thought form in YAHWEH's mind and then *Yahshua* was SPOKEN from that plan in Genesis 3:15 – “*Your Seed shall bruise His head*” this was WHEN the LOGOS was spoken forth. This was when the blueprint was drawn, the promise made and now we are just counting down the days until the breaking open of a mothers womb.

And Finally, in John 1:14 – The Plan, the Debar, the Logos became a reality rather than just a thought, rather than just a design or a promise – it all came to be! We beheld the glory of THE PLAN in human form!

Did you know that there is more to this plan that has not happened yet? There are parts of this LOGOS, this collection of thoughts that are still waiting for the fullness of time? Did you know that part of this plan as revealed in the Holy Days and the Heavenly scroll fortell of a time when every human on our planet will be filled with the Holy Spirit during the Millennial Reign?

In the mind of Yahweh it is as good as done, it is already finished. Yet, you and I have not seen this, earth has not witnessed it, the realm of time has not testified of this event. Can you and I say that just because this is in the PLAN that it has already happened? No more than you can say that because *Yahshua* was in the plan that He somehow pre-existed before it was time for his part of the play.

What was in the Beginning?

In a drama, each character has his curtain call, his time to come forth and bring the entire drama to its ultimate climax. Although the director knows how this play ends, it does not ACTUALLY end until every character has come forth. The Lamb of Calvary came forth on the 4th day of this play, the 4,000th year. However, the Lion of Judah as not come forth yet for His act, that happens on the 7th day or the 7,000th year. Yet, it is all part of the Collection of Thoughts or the Logos, each character is simply waiting on the fullness of time – or- their part of the act.

John 1:1

In a beginning was The Divine Plan of Yahweh, the Plan pertained to Yahweh and the plan belonged to Yahweh.

2: This Divine Plan existed in the beginning with Yahweh

3: All things were created according to this Divine Plan and without this plan was nothing created that was created

14: This Plan was revealed in flesh and we beheld the glory of it, the perfection of it as the only begotten Son of Yahweh full of grace and truth

Written by;

Shane Vaughn Th.D.

brothervaughn@gmail.com

www.firstharvestchurch.org

Youtube – First Harvest Ministries

First Harvest Ministries

What was in the Beginning?

First Harvest Ministries